DELSU Journal of Management Sciences
Abbr. Title: Deljoms, FMS
ISSN: *(Online)* **: 2756-3731**
OPEN ACCESS
Research Article
Volume 7, Number 2, Special Issue, November 2025

Budget Implementation and Accountability In Nigerian Public Administration

OKOLIE, Ugo Chuks[1] & OYISE, Uzezi Eniyome OYISE[2]
[1]Department of Public Administration,
Faculty of Management Sciences,
Delta State University, Abraka
ugookolie3@gmail.com
[2]Department of Public Administration,
Delta State Polytechnic,
Ogwashi-Uku
uziblack2000@yahoo.com

Publication Date: 2025/11/28

Abstract
Budget implementation and accountability play a vital role in ensuring good governance, as they shape how public funds are distributed, managed, and transformed into visible development results. In Nigeria, however, persistent issues such as corruption, weak institutional capacity, and political interference continue to obstruct the effective execution of budget policies. These shortcomings raise serious concerns about transparency and weaken citizens' confidence in government institutions. This study, therefore, examined the dynamics of budget implementation and accountability in Nigerian public administration while identifying the institutional and systemic obstacles that limit fiscal responsibility. To achieve this, the study employed a historical research design, drawing evidence from secondary data such as government reports, policy frameworks, and scholarly articles to trace the progression of budgetary practices and reforms in the country. The study was anchored on the public accountability theory, which underscores transparency, answerability, and the obligation of public officials to justify their use of state resources. Findings indicated that budgetary performance in Nigeria is frequently undermined by delays in approval processes, unrealistic revenue forecasts, inadequate monitoring systems, and entrenched corruption. These factors often result in abandoned projects, mismanagement of funds, and erosion of public trust. The study concluded that robust budget implementation and accountability mechanisms are crucial for promoting national development, efficient service delivery, and restoring citizens' trust in government. It is recommended, among others, that the government should adopt and institutionalize performance-based budgeting across all ministries, departments, and agencies. Linking budget allocations to clear performance indicators and measurable outcomes will ensure that public resources are channeled toward projects and programs that achieve concrete developmental results.

Keywords: *Budget implementation, accountability, public administration, performance-based budgeting, public finance, Nigeria*

Introduction

Public financial management forms the backbone of governance because it dictates how national resources are allocated, controlled, and applied to achieve development objectives. Central to this process are budget implementation and accountability, which act as mechanisms through which governments turn policies into measurable results (Adegite & Oyewo, 2021). A budget is not merely a financial statement; it is also a political, social, and economic tool that mirrors government priorities and citizens' expectations. When executed effectively, it channels public funds into projects and programs that drive national growth, reduce poverty, and build public confidence in institutions (World Bank, 2022). Conversely, weak implementation and fragile accountability structures lead to resource wastage, abandoned projects, and a growing gap between government promises and actual outcomes.

In Nigeria, budget implementation and accountability have remained persistent challenges despite numerous reform efforts. Since independence, the country has faced recurring discrepancies between projected budgets and real outcomes. Frequent delays in passing budgets, unrealistic revenue estimates, corruption, and poor monitoring mechanisms have weakened fiscal planning (Eze & Harrison, 2023). Even with reforms such as the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2007, concerns about transparency, leakages, and ineffective execution continue to dominate public discourse (Ogunyemi & Ige, 2021). These failures often result in poor service delivery, incomplete infrastructure projects, and diminished citizen trust in government.

The principle of accountability is central to financial governance. Under the public accountability framework, officials are expected to justify their decisions and demonstrate responsible use of public resources (Bovens, 2014). Accountability requires efficiency, transparency, and clear reporting of how funds are utilized. In Nigeria, however, weak oversight institutions, political interference, and minimal citizen participation often undermine this responsibility (Onyekpere, 2022). Such deficiencies foster mismanagement and weaken the role of budgets as tools for sustainable development.

International evidence shows that strong accountability and citizen involvement are critical for effective budget implementation. Countries that adopt performance-based budgeting and build robust monitoring systems typically record greater fiscal discipline and better service delivery (OECD, 2020). Nigeria's challenges underscore the need to go beyond legal provisions and pursue deeper institutional reforms, civic engagement, and capacity building. A historical perspective further highlights how colonial legacies, oil dependence, and governance patterns have shaped Nigeria's fiscal practices and contributed to systemic weaknesses (Okeke, 2021). This study, therefore, examined budget implementation and accountability in Nigerian public administration, emphasizing how institutional gaps, corruption, and political interference erode fiscal discipline.

Literature Review

Conceptualizing Budget Implementation and Accountability

The notion of a budget has been a fundamental concept in public administration, economics, and governance. At its core, a budget is a financial plan that projects anticipated revenues and outlines planned expenditures over a specific period, typically a fiscal year. However, scholars stress that a budget is more than a technical accounting tool; it functions as an instrument of governance, policy guidance, and a social agreement between the government and its citizens (Adegite & Oyewo, 2021).

Wildavsky (1986) emphasizes that the budget is inherently political, reflecting governmental priorities and the compromises made among competing interests. By directing resources to specific programs while limiting others, the budget communicates government intentions and values, shaping fiscal decisions and signaling developmental objectives. Within public administration, the budget also serves as a mechanism for managing the economy and ensuring accountability. Musgrave and Musgrave (1989) identify three primary roles of government budgets: allocation of resources, redistribution of wealth, and economic stabilization. This framework illustrates that budgeting is not merely about balancing revenues and expenditure but also about influencing economic outcomes and promoting social equity.

Recent scholarship highlights the budget's role in enhancing transparency and citizen engagement. The World Bank (2022) notes that budgets increasingly serve as tools for governance reform, allowing citizens to monitor public spending and hold officials accountable. Participatory budgeting initiatives in various countries have demonstrated that involving citizens in fiscal decisions can strengthen institutional trust and democratic governance (Sintomer et al., 2016). In Nigeria, the budget holds particular importance as public spending is a major driver of development. Okeke (2021) argues that while the budget is intended to guide national development, its effectiveness is often compromised by corruption, weak institutions, and political interference. Thus, the successful realization of a budget's objectives depends not only on its formulation but also on effective implementation and accountability mechanisms.

Budget implementation, therefore, refers to the stage in which approved budget plans are put into action, translating proposed allocations and policy objectives into actual programs, projects, and services that advance developmental goals (Adegite & Oyewo, 2021). While budgeting outlines government intentions, implementation ensures these plans are carried out efficiently and effectively. Olowu and Adebayo (2018) explain that budget implementation involves all administrative, financial, and managerial processes necessary to operationalize the approved budget. This includes the disbursement of funds, procurement of goods and services, and continuous monitoring of project execution. Successful implementation requires coordination across ministries, departments, and agencies, as well as adherence to established procedures to guarantee that resources are utilized as intended.

Budget implementation is closely tied to accountability and overall performance in public administration. When properly executed, it directs resources to priority areas, improves service delivery, and enhances public confidence in government institutions (Onyekpere, 2022). Conversely, weak or ineffective implementation can result in unspent funds, abandoned projects, and a disconnect between planned objectives and actual outcomes. Internationally, effective budget implementation emphasizes transparency, rigorous monitoring, and performance-based approaches, where spending is linked to measurable results (OECD, 2020). In Nigeria, however, bureaucratic inefficiencies, corruption, and political interference often undermine the execution of budgets, making it a persistent challenge for policymakers and citizens alike (Eze & Harrison, 2023). Therefore, budget implementation is a pivotal phase in the public financial management process. Its success depends on strong coordination, monitoring, and accountability mechanisms, ensuring that allocated resources produce tangible developmental benefits and enhance public service delivery.

Accountability, on the other hand, is a core principle in public administration, governance, and financial management, ensuring that public officials are held responsible for their actions, decisions, and management of resources. Fundamentally, it requires individuals or institutions to explain, justify, and take ownership of their conduct in accordance with established laws, rules, and ethical standards (Bovens, 2014). Accountability serves as a tool for enhancing transparency, curbing corruption, and building public confidence in governance systems. In the realm of public finance, accountability ensures that the handling of public funds aligns with policy objectives and societal expectations. It encompasses accurate reporting of financial activities, compliance with regulations, and responsiveness to key stakeholders, including citizens, legislative authorities, and oversight institutions (Mulgan, 2000). By upholding accountability, governments can legitimize their actions, optimize resource allocation, and reinforce the credibility of public institutions.

Scholars have highlighted multiple dimensions of accountability. Bovens (2007) distinguishes between political, administrative, and legal accountability, noting that officials are answerable not only to superior authorities but also to the public and independent oversight bodies. Political accountability entails responsiveness to elected representatives and citizens, administrative accountability emphasizes adherence to procedures and performance standards, and legal accountability focuses on compliance with statutory regulations (Onyekpere, 2022).

On a global scale, accountability is closely tied to governance reforms and anti-corruption efforts. Open government initiatives and participatory mechanisms, such as citizen oversight committees and social audits, have been effective in enhancing accountability in many countries (World Bank, 2022). In Nigeria, however, persistent issues such as weak institutional capacity, political interference, and limited civic engagement undermine accountability, leading to mismanagement of public resources and suboptimal service delivery (Onyekpere, 2022). Thus, accountability is a multidimensional concept essential for effective governance and public financial management. By fostering transparency, answerability, and responsibility, it ensures the efficient, ethical, and goal-aligned use of public resources, thereby strengthening trust in government and supporting sustainable development.

Budget implementation and accountability together form the cornerstone of sound public financial management. While the budget sets out financial plans and priorities, accountability ensures that public officials justify their use of resources and maintain transparency. Globally, effective budget systems emphasize transparency, efficiency, and citizen participation, with performance-based frameworks contributing to better service delivery and fiscal discipline (OECD, 2020). In contrast, developing countries, including Nigeria, often face structural and institutional challenges that hinder the alignment of budget plans with tangible developmental outcomes (Eze & Harrison, 2023).

Global Perspectives on Budget Implementation and Accountability

Scholars worldwide have highlighted the critical role of strong accountability systems in ensuring successful budget implementation. In many developed countries, advanced fiscal frameworks not only promote proper resource allocation but also link budget decisions to measurable performance indicators. For instance, several European Union nations have adopted results-based budgeting approaches, connecting public spending to specific metrics of progress and efficiency (OECD, 2020). This allows both policymakers and citizens to monitor whether funds are being used effectively, thereby improving transparency and reinforcing trust in government.

Moreover, Scandinavian countries have enhanced accountability through participatory budgeting, a system that engages citizens in determining how public resources are allocated. This approach fosters transparency, inclusivity, and a sense of shared ownership over government programs (Liguori & Steccolini, 2018). Participatory mechanisms not only boost public confidence in the budget process but also ensure that spending aligns with community priorities, minimizing opportunities for mismanagement or waste.

By contrast, many developing nations continue to encounter significant challenges in implementing robust accountability frameworks. Study by Khagram, Fung, and de Renzio (2013) indicates that, despite reforms in Latin America and parts of Asia, weak institutional capacity and political interference often prevent officials from being fully answerable for budget outcomes. Similarly, Rajaram et al. (2014) note that overly optimistic revenue forecasts, insufficient monitoring, and limited technical skills frequently lead to budget deficits, delayed disbursements, and abandoned projects. These findings suggest that while performance-oriented and participatory budgeting are considered global best practices, their effectiveness relies heavily on strong institutions, transparent governance, and political commitment.

Overall, international experiences demonstrate that effective budget execution depends on integrating sound fiscal systems, participatory approaches, and robust accountability measures. Countries that prioritize these elements are more likely to achieve efficient resource utilization, enhance service delivery, and strengthen public trust in government operations.

Budget Implementation and Accountability in Nigeria

Nigeria's budgetary system reflects many of the structural difficulties common in developing countries. Since gaining independence, the nation has consistently faced a significant gap between budget planning and actual execution, with outcomes frequently falling short of projected targets. Ogunyemi and Ige (2021) note that delays in budget approval remain a persistent problem, as late passage of appropriations hinders the timely commencement and completion of projects. Consequently, ministries, departments, and agencies (MDAs) often operate under tight timelines, leading to rushed implementation, inefficient spending, and abandoned initiatives (Okonjo-Iweala, 2018).

Corruption further compounds these challenges. According to Transparency International (2022), Nigeria continues to rank low on the Corruption Perceptions Index, with public finance management being among the most affected sectors. Misappropriation of funds, inflated contracts, and weak procurement systems frequently compromise developmental outcomes. Nwankwo and Orji (2020) highlight that infrastructure capital budgets are often diverted or poorly managed, leaving projects incomplete and funds unaccounted for. Likewise, Adegbite (2021) argues that corruption in procurement and contract execution significantly hampers the effectiveness of Nigeria's budget system, eroding public confidence.

Political interference also undermines effective budgeting. Eze and Harrison (2023) contend that budget allocations in Nigeria are often driven by political negotiations rather than development priorities. Legislators may include constituency projects with limited developmental value, while executive authorities sometimes reallocate funds for political objectives, especially during election periods. This politicization weakens fiscal discipline and diminishes the budget's role as a tool for national development (Akinola, 2022).

Institutional deficiencies play a critical role as well. Onyekpere (2022) observes that monitoring and evaluation mechanisms remain inadequate, with oversight bodies such as the National Assembly, Budget Office, and Auditor-General lacking the resources and independence to enforce accountability. Poor expenditure tracking facilitates leakages, ghost projects, and inefficiency in public service delivery. Additionally, the World Bank (2020) reports that Nigeria's weak public financial management systems contribute to low budget credibility, with significant disparities between approved allocations and actual expenditures.

In essence, Nigeria's budgetary process is hampered by delays, corruption, political interference, and institutional weaknesses. These issues undermine fiscal responsibility and widen the gap between budgeted intentions and developmental results, highlighting the urgent need for enhanced accountability measures and strengthened institutional frameworks.

The Role of Citizen Participation

Citizen involvement is increasingly acknowledged as a vital tool for improving accountability in public financial management. Around the world, participatory budgeting has proven effective in giving citizens a voice in determining how resources are allocated, ensuring that government spending aligns with community needs, reducing opportunities for corruption, and enhancing transparency (Sintomer, Herzberg, & Röcke, 2016). In this model, citizens are active participants rather than passive recipients of public services, which fosters ownership, trust, and responsiveness in governance. Evidence from countries like Brazil, India, and South Africa shows that engaging citizens in budget planning and monitoring improves the efficiency of public spending and limits mismanagement (Wampler & Avritzer, 2004; Shah, 2007).

In the Nigerian context, citizen participation in budgetary processes remains limited. Budgets are typically prepared and executed with little meaningful consultation, leaving citizens largely unaware of allocations and unable to hold officials accountable for spending decisions (Adeosun, 2021). This lack of engagement diminishes transparency and weakens the potential of public oversight to reduce corruption and improve resource use.

Civil society organizations (CSOs) have stepped in to address this gap by promoting fiscal transparency and enabling citizen involvement in budget monitoring. For example, BudgIT uses digital platforms to simplify budget documents and make them accessible, allowing citizens to track government expenditures effectively (BudgIT, 2021). Similarly, Connected Development (CODE) facilitates community oversight of local projects, enhancing civic participation (CODE, 2020). However, structural barriers, such as limited internet access in rural regions, low literacy rates, and weak enforcement mechanisms, constrain the full impact of citizen engagement.

These observations indicate that while public participation is essential for accountability, it must be supported by institutional reforms, capacity-building, and inclusive strategies that ensure engagement is widespread, meaningful, and capable of influencing fiscal decision-making. Strengthening these measures can empower Nigerians to hold public officials responsible for the management of public resources.

Theoretical Framework

This study was anchored on the Public Accountability Theory, which emphasizes transparency, answerability, and stewardship of public resources. The Public Accountability Theory is primarily attributed to Mark Bovens, who introduced a structured conceptual framework for understanding accountability in public administration. His seminal work on this theory was published in 2007 in the article titled *"Analysing and Assessing Accountability: A Conceptual Framework."*

The Public Accountability Theory, formulated by Mark Bovens, underscores the responsibility of public officials and institutions to justify their decisions, actions, and management of state resources. A fundamental aspect of the theory is transparency, which calls for the open disclosure of information related to policies, expenditures, and decision-making processes, allowing stakeholders to scrutinize and evaluate government actions. Closely associated with transparency is answerability, requiring officials to provide explanations and justifications for their conduct to oversight bodies, citizens, or other relevant authorities. Enforceability is another key principle, ensuring that systems are in place to hold public officials accountable, including the application of sanctions or corrective measures when standards of accountability are breached (Bovens, 2007).

The theory further emphasizes the multidimensional nature of accountability, which includes political, administrative, and legal dimensions. Political accountability involves responsiveness to elected representatives and the broader public, administrative accountability concerns compliance with established procedures, rules, and performance expectations, while legal accountability guarantees adherence to statutory and regulatory obligations. Additionally, the theory highlights the active role of stakeholders, including citizens, civil society organizations, and independent oversight agencies, in monitoring, evaluating, and demanding accountability from government institutions. By combining these elements, the Public Accountability Theory offers a comprehensive framework for evaluating the extent to which governments practice ethical, transparent, and responsible governance (Bovens, 2007; Mulgan, 2000).

The Public Accountability Theory, developed by Mark Bovens, is highly applicable to the study of budget implementation and accountability within Nigerian public administration. This theory asserts that public officials and institutions have a fundamental duty to be answerable for their decisions, actions, and the management of public resources. Employing this framework enables a thorough examination of how ministries, departments, agencies, and other government entities in Nigeria execute budgetary plans, assessing whether their practices align with principles of transparency, responsibility, and ethical governance. It offers a structured approach to understanding the complex dynamics of fiscal management, shedding light on both the achievements and shortcomings of budget execution.

A key contribution of the theory lies in its multidimensional perspective on accountability, encompassing political, administrative, and legal dimensions. Political accountability focuses on the responsiveness of public officials to elected representatives and the electorate, facilitating the study of how political negotiations, constituency projects, and executive discretion impact budget implementation. Administrative accountability emphasizes compliance with procedural rules, performance standards, and internal monitoring, highlighting the importance of robust financial management systems. Legal accountability underscores adherence to statutory regulations, providing a lens through which the effectiveness of laws, such as the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2007, can be evaluated in promoting responsible public expenditure.

The theory's emphasis on transparency and answerability is particularly relevant to this research. Transparency demands that information on budget allocations, expenditures, and decision-making processes be openly accessible, allowing oversight bodies and citizens to scrutinize government actions. Answerability requires public officials to justify their decisions and account for deviations from approved budgets, which is essential for identifying inefficiencies, project abandonment, or mismanagement of public funds.

Furthermore, the theory acknowledges the role of stakeholders, including civil society organizations, citizens, and independent oversight institutions. Their participation is crucial for fostering participatory governance, enhancing transparency, and building public trust. In Nigeria, initiatives such as Budget and Connected Development illustrate how citizen engagement in budget monitoring can improve fiscal transparency and accountability. The Public Accountability Theory provides a conceptual basis for evaluating the effectiveness of such participatory mechanisms in overcoming systemic challenges like corruption, political interference, and weak institutional capacity. Additionally, the theory highlights the importance of enforceability, ensuring that public officials face consequences for mismanagement, corruption, or noncompliance with established procedures.

Thus, the Public Accountability Theory provides a comprehensive framework for analyzing budget implementation in Nigeria. It facilitates a deeper understanding of the interplay between institutional structures, political factors, and citizen participation, all of which are critical for effective public financial management. Applying this theory enables a nuanced assessment of how accountability mechanisms function in practice, the obstacles that compromise them, and strategies for enhancing transparency, responsibility, and performance. Ultimately, it bridges the gap between budget formulation and actual developmental outcomes, offering insights essential for policy recommendations, institutional reforms, and the promotion of good governance (Bovens, 2007; Mulgan, 2000; Adeosun, 2021; BudgIT, 2021).

Methodology

The study employed a historical research design, which is well-suited for exploring the development of budget implementation and accountability in Nigerian public administration. This design emphasizes the analysis of past events, policies, and institutional changes to shed light on current challenges and guide future improvements. The study primarily relied on secondary data sources to gather relevant information. These included government reports, policy documents, official budget statements, journal articles, and books, which provided detailed insights into the planning, execution, and oversight of public funds. Additionally, offered comparative and contextual perspectives on budget implementation and accountability both in Nigeria and globally. These resources were essential in developing a thorough understanding of the political, structural, and institutional factors shaping fiscal governance.

For data analysis, the study utilized content analysis, a method that systematically examines textual materials to identify themes, trends, and patterns relevant to the research objectives. Through this approach, the study evaluated the alignment between budget plans and actual expenditures, assessed the effectiveness of accountability mechanisms, and examined how institutional and political factors influence fiscal performance. Content analysis enabled the organization and interpretation of data to highlight both achievements and challenges in budget implementation. Thus, adopting a historical research design coupled with content analysis of secondary sources provided a comprehensive framework for investigating the complexities of budget implementation and accountability in Nigeria. This approach situates current fiscal challenges within their historical context, offering evidence-based insights for policy formulation, institutional strengthening, and governance reforms.

Finding and Discussion

The review of budget implementation and accountability in Nigeria highlights several enduring challenges that significantly compromise the effectiveness of public financial management. A prominent issue is the frequent delay in budget approvals. Ogunyemi and Ige (2021) indicate that the National Assembly's late passage of appropriation bills often compresses the implementation period, leaving ministries, departments, and agencies (MDAs) with insufficient time to execute planned projects. This scenario frequently results in rushed spending, substandard project execution, or the abandonment of initiatives (Okonjo-Iweala, 2018). Comparatively, countries that adhere strictly to budget timelines, such as Germany and Canada, demonstrate a closer alignment between planned and actual expenditures, emphasizing the critical role of timely approvals in achieving fiscal efficiency and developmental goals (OECD, 2020).

Another major finding is the habitual overestimation of government revenue during the budgeting process. Eze and Harrison (2023) note that unrealistic revenue projections often lead to shortfalls, forcing adjustments that disrupt the execution of planned expenditures. These gaps often cause project delays, incomplete programs, and reduced delivery of public services. Experiences from countries like South Korea and Chile suggest that adopting conservative, evidence-based revenue forecasts enhanced budget credibility and facilitates smoother implementation, thereby strengthening fiscal discipline and public trust (Shah, 2007).

Corruption remains a pervasive barrier to effective budget execution. Transparency International (2022) consistently ranks Nigeria poorly on the Corruption Perceptions Index, highlighting mismanagement in public financial operations as a major concern. Issues such as fund misappropriation, inflated contracts, and weak procurement practices undermine developmental outcomes, often leaving infrastructure projects incomplete and financial resources unaccounted for (Adegbite, 2021; Nwankwo & Orji, 2020). Countries with robust anti-corruption systems, including Denmark and New Zealand, demonstrate that transparency, stringent auditing, and enforceable accountability measures can significantly reduce financial mismanagement, enhance project completion, and build citizen confidence in government institutions (World Bank, 2020).

Weak monitoring and evaluation mechanisms further exacerbate budget inefficiencies in Nigeria. Onyekpere (2022) observes that oversight institutions such as the Office of the Auditor-General, the National Assembly, and the Budget Office often lack adequate resources and autonomy to enforce accountability. Poor tracking of expenditures contributes to fund leakages, ghost projects, and general inefficiency in service delivery. International best practices show that implementing performance-based budgeting, real-time monitoring systems, and rigorous reporting structures ensures that resources are efficiently utilized, and outcomes are in line with policy objectives (OECD, 2020).

Institutional capacity constraints also hinder effective budget execution. Many MDAs face inadequate resourcing, lack trained personnel, and operate in politically influenced environments that may redirect funds toward non-priority or politically motivated projects (Akinola, 2022). Evidence from other contexts suggests that strengthening institutional capacity, professionalizing public service, and shielding financial operations from political interference are effective measures for enhancing budget efficiency and accountability (Mulgan, 2000; Bovens, 2007).

Therefore, delayed approvals, over-optimistic revenue projections, corruption, weak oversight, and institutional deficiencies collectively undermine Nigeria's budget implementation and accountability. Lessons from global experiences underscore the importance of timely budget passage, realistic revenue forecasting, robust monitoring, strengthened institutions, and anti-corruption frameworks as critical strategies for improving public financial management and achieving sustainable development outcomes.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The examination of budget implementation and accountability in Nigerian public administration highlights the critical role of effective public financial management in fostering national development. The study demonstrated that converting budgetary plans into tangible results is shaped by a complex mix of political, institutional, and socio-economic factors. Persistent delays in budget approvals, overestimated revenue projections, corruption, weak oversight, and limited institutional capacity collectively hinder the efficient use of public resources. These obstacles not only undermine developmental initiatives but also diminish public confidence in government operations. The findings indicated that while budgets are intended to reflect government priorities and drive national growth, their effectiveness is significantly reduced when accountability and execution mechanisms are weak or compromised.

A major insight from the study is the multidimensional character of accountability in public financial management. Political, administrative, and legal dimensions each play vital roles in ensuring that public officials are answerable for their actions, follow established procedures, and comply with legal requirements. In Nigeria, gaps in these dimensions often result in project abandonment, misappropriation of funds, and deviations from approved budget plans. Additionally, the research highlights that citizen involvement and civil society oversight, though currently underdeveloped, can serve as effective tools to enhance transparency and promote the responsible use of public resources. Initiatives such as BudgIT and Connected Development (CODE) illustrate the potential of participatory approaches to improve fiscal governance, though their impact is constrained by challenges like limited information access, low literacy rates, and weak enforcement of accountability measures.

The study further emphasizes the need to link budget preparation with effective execution and strong accountability frameworks. International experience demonstrates that countries adopting performance-based budgeting, realistic revenue forecasting, strengthened oversight institutions, and active citizen participation tend to achieve better fiscal discipline, improved service delivery, and increased public trust. For Nigeria, tackling systemic corruption, political interference, and institutional deficiencies is essential to closing the gap between budget intentions and actual developmental outcomes. Reforms that promote transparency, enforce accountability, and enhance institutional capacity are necessary to ensure that public funds are allocated to projects that genuinely benefit society.

In conclusion, robust budget implementation and accountability mechanisms are crucial for promoting national development, efficient service delivery, and restoring citizens' trust in government. The study underscores that achieving these objectives requires an integrated approach combining sound fiscal policy, institutional reform, participatory governance, and enforceable accountability measures. Ultimately, the study affirms that accountability is not merely a formal requirement but a foundational element for public trust, effective governance, and long-term national progress. Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed to enhance budget implementation and accountability in Nigerian public administration:

i. The government should adopt and institutionalize performance-based budgeting across all ministries, departments, and agencies. Linking budget allocations to clear performance indicators and measurable outcomes will ensure that public resources are channeled toward projects and programs that achieve concrete developmental results. This strategy should also support systematic monitoring and evaluation, allowing authorities to track progress, identify inefficiencies, and maximize the effective use of resources.
ii. Oversight bodies should be reinforced to provide stronger monitoring and enforcement of accountability mechanisms. Key institutions, including the National Assembly, the Office of the Auditor-General, and the Budget Office, should be equipped with sufficient resources, technical capacity, and independence to oversee budget execution. Strengthening these agencies should help detect financial mismanagement, curb corruption, and ensure compliance with fiscal regulations, thereby improving the integrity and reliability of the budgeting process.
iii. Civil society organizations and citizens should be actively involved in the budgeting process to foster transparency and participatory governance. Programs that simplify budget information and enable community oversight, such as digital platforms and social audits, should be scaled up across the country. Promoting widespread citizen engagement should help align public spending with societal needs, enhance accountability, and strengthen public trust in government operations.

References

Adegbite, E. (2021a). *Corruption and public financial management in Nigeria: Implications for governance and development*. Lagos: University of Lagos Press.

Adegbite, E. (2021b). *Public sector corruption and budget inefficiency in Nigeria*. Lagos: University Press.

Adegite, E., & Oyewo, B. (2021). Public financial management reforms and accountability in Nigeria. *Journal of Accounting and Taxation, 13*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.5897/JAT2020.0450

Adeosun, A. B. (2021). Civic engagement and fiscal transparency in Nigeria: The role of citizen participation in budgeting. *African Journal of Governance and Development, 10*(2), 87–101.

Akinola, O. (2022). Political interference and fiscal indiscipline in Nigeria's budgetary process. *Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research, 14*(2), 45–58. https://doi.org/10.5897/JPAPR2022.0345

Bovens, M. (2007). Analysing and assessing accountability: A conceptual framework. *European Law Journal, 13*(4), 447–468. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2007.00378.x

Bovens, M. (2014). *Public accountability*. In E. Ferlie, L. Lynn, & C. Pollitt (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Public Management* (pp. 182–208). Oxford University Press.

BudgIT. (2021). Promoting transparency through citizen engagement in Nigeria. Retrieved from https://www.budgit.org 25th June, 2025.

Eze, C., & Harrison, J. (2023). Budget formulation and execution in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. *Nigerian Journal of Economics and Finance, 12*(1), 78–95. https://doi.org/10.1234/njef.2023.012

Khagram, S., Fung, A., & de Renzio, P. (2013). Open budgets: The political economy of transparency, participation, and accountability. *Brookings Institution Press*.

Liguori, M., & Steccolini, I. (2018). Accounting, accountability and institutions in times of crisis: Lessons from the global financial crisis. *Public Administration, 96*(3), 590–604.

Mulgan, R. (2000). 'Accountability': An ever-expanding concept? *Public Administration, 78*(3), 555–573. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00221

Musgrave, R. A., & Musgrave, P. B. (1989). *Public finance in theory and practice* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Nwankwo, L., & Orji, P. (2020). Public expenditure management and infrastructure delivery in Nigeria: Evidence from the capital budget. *African Journal of Public Administration, 8*(3), 101–118.

OECD. (2020). *Budgeting and public financial management for sustainable development*. Paris: OECD Publishing.

Ogunyemi, O., & Ige, K. (2021). Fiscal responsibility and budget implementation in Nigeria: Issues and prospects. *International Journal of Public Policy and Administration Research, 8*(2), 12–25.

Okeke, V. (2021). Historical perspectives on budget implementation in Nigeria: Implications for governance and development. *Nigerian Journal of Social Sciences, 17*(1), 102–116.

Okonjo-Iweala, N. (2018). *Reforming the Nigerian budget process: Lessons from experience*. Abuja: Centre for Fiscal Studies.

Olowu, D., & Adebayo, S. (2018). Budget implementation and governance in Africa. *African Journal of Public Finance, 10*(3), 21–38.

Onyekpere, E. (2022). Oversight, accountability, and public financial management in Nigeria. *Journal of Governance and Development, 9*(1), 33–49.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2020). *Budgeting and public financial management: Key principles and practices*. OECD Publishing.

Rajaram, A., Lledó, V. D., Khemani, S., & Khan, A. (2014). *Beyond the annual budget: Global experience with medium-term expenditure frameworks*. World Bank.

Shah, A. (2007). Participatory budgeting and fiscal responsibility in developing countries. *World Development, 35*(6), 900–915.

Sintomer, Y., Herzberg, C., Röcke, A., & Allegretti, G. (2016). Participatory budgeting worldwide: An innovative model of democratic governance. *Routledge*.

Transparency International. (2022). *Corruption perceptions index 2022*. Berlin: Transparency International.

Wildavsky, A. (1986). *Budgeting: A comparative theory of budgetary processes* (2nd ed.). Transaction Publishers.

World Bank. (2020). *Nigeria public financial management review: Strengthening accountability and budget credibility*.

Washington, DC: World Bank Group.

World Bank. (2022). *Strengthening fiscal transparency and accountability in Nigeria*. World Bank Publications.